

#3 Green fluorescence intensity and num

n when comparing the 10⁻² solution to the 10⁻¹

R_{G/B} TABLE A FUNCTION OF BACTERIAL DILUTION with Statistical Analysis

Calibration Solution Dilution in Log Scale 1.00	<u>Drop 1</u>	Drop 2	Drop 3	Drop 4	Average	Standard Deviation	<u>Relative</u> Error
0.00	8.51	12.74	6.92	6.26	8.61	2.91	33.84%
-1.00	3.32	4.18	4.42	3.98	3.98	0.47	11.88%
-2.00	3.90	4.10	3.30	4.22	3.88	0.41	10.53%
-3.00	3.76	2.66	2.80	3.90	3.28	0.64	19.52%
-4.00	3.62	3.30		3.42	3.32	0.29	8.89%
-5.00	3.44	3.22	3.78	3.72	3.54	0.26	7.34%
-6.00	3.68	3.46	3.42	3.26	3.46	0.17	5.01%
			3.34	3.60	3.49	0.11	3.12%
-8.00	3.90	4.02	3.34	3.80	3.77	0.30	7.90%
-9.00	3.54	3.28	3.86	3.10	3.45	0.33	9.59%





CONCLUSIONS - WHAT DID WE FIND?

CONCLUSION #1 The Ratio R Green/Blue SCALES with R Green/Blue Bacterial Concentration <u>Consistently</u> in 0.15 mL DROPS

Conclusion #2 However, the Fluorescence Ratio R Green/Blue is not SENSITIVE enough to measure low bacterial loads → NEXT STEP IN DOE Use another new, more sensitive dye, Biotium GelGreenTM

CONCLUSION #3 The Green Fluorescence Ratio R Green/Blue Can Be Considered to be sensitive for the first three orders of magnitude from the 1st three dilutions (10-1, 10-2, 10-3 ..) The relative Error is 10% for the lowest 10⁻² Dilution.

CONCLUSION #4 Where the R Green/Blue is <u>not sensitive enough</u> to measure bacterial loads $(10^{-4} \text{ to } 10^{-9})$, the background R Green/Blue Averages 3.50 ± 0.15 , about a 4% error.

- The Background Value R Green/Blue in the absence of fluorescence is VERY CONSISTENT,
- The medical gold standard, <u>10%</u>, error needs a more sensitive dyes such as the new <u>GelGreen</u> for Accuracy

→ **PROTOTYPING** has begun based on this feasibility Study





