Friday Morning, September 26, 2025

Atomic Scale Processing Mini-Symposium Room 206 A W - Session AP+EM+PS+TF-FrM

Area Selective Processing and Patterning

Moderators: Steven M. George, University of Colorado at Boulder, Angelique Raley, TEL Technology Center, America, LLC

9:00am AP+EM+PS+TF-FrM-4 Tuning Surface Reactivity by Small Molecule Modifiers in Area-Selective ALD: Small Molecule Inhibitors (SMI) vs. Small Molecule Promoters (SMP), Andrew Teplyakov, University of Delaware

In area-selective deposition, selectivity of surfaces could be manipulated to either suppress or promote surface reactivity with respect to the target reactants. Using model ALD processes with TiO_2 (TDMAT/water) or Al_2O_3 (TMA/water), the deposition onto semiconductor surfaces modified with small fluorine-containing molecules is analyzed by spectroscopic and microscopic techniques, including depth profiling with ToF-SIMS, supplemented by computational DFT modeling. The fluorinated functional groups are designed for easy spectroscopic characterization to analyze the potential AS-ALD schemes on silicon, as well as on oxide materials, including TiO₂, MgO, and Al₂O₃. The initial deposition steps are analyzed by comparing the behavior of modified surfaces with that of pristine substrates, and the distribution of the fluorine and fluorine-containing fragments within the ALD-deposited layers is followed by ToF-SIMS depth profiling once these F-containing functionalities are buried under the overgrown layers. This approach allows for identification of the deposition processes for both small molecule inhibitors (SMIs) and small molecule promoters (SMPs).

9:15am AP+EM+PS+TF-FrM-5 Area Selective ALD for Future Engineering Challenges, Stacey Bent, Stanford University INVITED

The continued downscaling of electronic device dimensions requires the development of new, precise patterning methods that are compatible with high-volume manufacturing. Atomic level processing, and in particular area selective atomic layer deposition (AS-ALD), continues to gain attention as an important method to achieve nanoscale features at the sub-10 nm length scale. It is well known that tuning the surface chemistry of the substrate can be used to either inhibit or enhance ALD nucleation, leading to selective deposition. A key strategy for AS-ALD has been the use of inhibitors which can alter the native surface reactivity to block nucleation in thermal as well as plasma-assisted ALD. This inhibition approach enables good selectivity in AS-ALD of thin films on a variety of substrate materials, including dielectrics and metals, and I will present several inhibitor-based AS-ALD systems. Importantly, the ALD precursor also plays a key role in influencing selectivity. Results show that precursor size can have a significant influence on the ability of inhibitors to prevent ALD nucleation. However, precursor size alone is not the defining metric, and I will share examples that highlight the influence of other precursor effects, such as precursor-inhibitor reactivity and miscibility. Ultimately, developing molecular design rules for both inhibitors and ALD precursors will be critical for applying AS-ALD more widely to future challenges in microelectronics fabrication.

9:45am AP+EM+PS+TF-FrM-7 Controlling ASD of a Multi-Color System: PEDOT ASD between SiN, Si-H, and SiO2 by Pre-Treatment Adjustment, Jeremy Thelven, Nicholas Carroll, Gregory Parsons, North Carolina State University

Complex 3D device architectures are proposed as the solution to make devices more energy efficient.¹ These architectures require many lithographic steps where the high costs of EUV lithography limits device throughput. As such, there is a need for process augmentation to reduce the EUV burdening. A potential solution is area-selective deposition(ASD), where film deposition occurs on a "growth" surface while it is inhibited on an adjacent "non-growth" surface allowing for bottom-up processing.

While ASD conveys the notion of selective deposition between two surfaces, however, in fabrication more might be exposed. Therefore, it is crucial to look at multiple surfaces, a "multi-color system." The goal being to have the versatility of depositing the desired material only on the desired location(s). It is then important to know processes that activate or deactivate specific surfaces in a multi-color system.

 $\label{eq:poly} Poly(3,4-ethylenedioxythiophene)(PEDOT) \mbox{ was deposited by oxidative chemical vapor deposition(oCVD) using 3,4-ethylenedioxythiophene(EDOT) monomer and antimony pentachloride(SbCl_s) as reactants to analyze how various pre-treatment strategies can tune the ASD between Si-H, SiN, and$

SiO₂ surfaces. As a control, single-material coupons were treated with a diluted hydrofluoric acid(DHF) wet etch prior to PEDOT oCVD. Results showed ~30nm of ASD on SiN and SiO2 vs. Si-H. Three different pretreatment strategies were evaluated: 1 cycle of molybdenum hexafluoride(MoF₆)/N₂, 7 cycles of N,Ndimethylaminotrimethylsilane(DMATMS)/N₂, and 7 cycles of DMATMS/N₂ followed by a water soak. Ellipsometer, water contact angle, XPS, and SEM results show that MoF₆ served to simultaneously activate and deactivate the Si-H and SiO₂, respectively, allowing for PEDOT ASD on SiN and Si-H vs. SiO₂. DMATMS exposure deactivated only the SiO_2 showing PEDOT ASD on SiN vs. SiO₂ and Si-H. Including a water soak after the DMATMS activated the Si-H to PEDOT deposition resulting in a deposition configuration of Si-H and SiN vs. SiO₂.

Overall, the concept of tunable selectivity for a three-color system is demonstrated by these results. These pre-treatment strategies providing a better understanding into controlling selectivity.

1.Datta, S.; Chakraborty, W.; Radosavljevic, M. Toward. *Science* **2022**, *378* (6621), 733–740.

10:00am AP+EM+PS+TF-FrM-8 Kinetics Model for Selective Thermal Etching of Si_{1-X}Ge_x in F₂/Ar, Yi Chen, Daniel Cho, University of California, Los Angeles; John Hoang, Nicholas Altieri, Ji Zhu, Samantha Tan, Lam Research Corporation; Jane Chang, University of California, Los Angeles

The selective etching of Si_{1-x}Ge_x over Si enables the fabrication of the gateall-around field-effect transistors. Thermal etching of Si/Si_{1-x}Ge_x at near room temperature features high selectivity, exhibiting a non-linear relationship between etch rate and Ge% (Fig. 1(a)). There are no reported reaction mechanisms explaining this unique Ge%-dependent phenomenon.

In this work, thin films of Si_{1-x}Ge_x of varying Ge content (Ge% = 0 to 1) were etched thermally by molecular F₂ gas at near room temperature under different F₂ partial pressures (0.5 to 10 mTorr) in Ar. The etch rates were quantified by ellipsometry measurement and the relationship between etch rate and Ge% resembled those shown in Fig. 1(a). Reported Si_{1-x}Ge_x etch selectivity ranges from 100 to 1000 and the unpublished maximum etch selectivity is from 200 to 250. The unpublished experimental data is being reviewed for public release and will be presented at the conference. A kinetics model was established in this work to elucidate the reaction pathways in thermal etching of Si_{1-x}Ge_x by F₂, considering reactions between atomic fluorine and various surface species and the interplay between reaction products involving Si and Ge. The model result (Fig. 1(b)) yielded the unique volcano-shaped relationship between etch rate and Ge%, validating the reactions considered in the model captured the main kinetics during F₂ etching of Si_{1-x}Ge_x.

10:30am AP+EM+PS+TF-FrM-10 Area-Selective Deposition by Surface Engineering for Applications in Nanoelectronics: Enablement of 2d and 3d Device Scaling and Self-Alignment, Silvia Armini, IMEC Belgium INVITED At advanced nodes targeting 10 nm feature size and below, lithography starts to dominate costs (EUV, multiple mask passes per layer, pattern placement error,...). Complementary techniques and materials are needed to continue 2D scaling and extend the Moore's law. On the other hand, 2D scaling is reaching its limitations driving the transition to 3D and vertical integration schemes (such as 3DNAND, 3DDRAM, CFET...), which result in higher devices density per unit area and lower production cost. Areaselective atomic layer deposition (AS-ALD) is rapidly gaining interest because of its potential application in self-aligned fabrication schemes for next-generation nanoelectronics. In addition, ASD allows coping with high aspect ratio and complex 3D architectures. The strong sensitivity of ALD to surface chemistry and its self-limiting nature are particularly appealing for ASD.

In this talk I will illustrate a variety of ASD processes and applications spanning from nano-interconnects, logic and memories to patterning.

11:00am AP+EM+PS+TF-FrM-12 Towards Area Selective Deposition: Photoassisted Chemical Vapor Deposition Using Ru Precursors, *Christopher Brewer*, University of Texas at Dallas; *Rashmi Singh*, *Bishwaprava Das*, University of Florida; *Diego Caretti, Dyotana Bhattacharyya, Oluwatamilore Oni*, University of Texas at Dallas; *Xin Kang*, *Jonah Perry, Lisa McElwee-White*, University of Florida; *Amy Walker*, University of Texas at Dallas

Photoassisted chemical vapor deposition (PACVD) is an attractive technique for the metallization of thermally sensitive films, such as organic thin films.A library of (η^4 -diene)Ru(CO)₃ and (η^2 -olefin)Ru(CO)₄ precursors has been developed that demonstrate photolytic loss of both CO and alkene

Friday Morning, September 26, 2025

ligands at room temperature.Using -CH₃, -OH, and -COOH terminated selfassembled monolayers (SAMs) as model substrates, we have investigated the use of these precursors in area selective deposition. We demonstrate that the (n⁴-diene)Ru(CO)₃ precursors show a strong deposition preference onto -COOH functionalized SAMs, while (n²-olefin)Ru(CO)₄ precursors show a deposition preference onto the -COOH and -OH functionalized SAMs.The -CH₃ functionalized SAMs are a non-growth surface for all the precursors screened.Using X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy, we have elucidated the composition of the deposited Ru species. Using these results we shall discuss new potentially effective PACVD precursors for the deposition of other metals.

11:15am AP+EM+PS+TF-FrM-13 The Effects of Process Chemistry on Blocking Chemisorption in ALD: Thin Film Precursor, Co-Reactant and Co-Adsorbate, Jay Swarup, James Jensen, Jeffrey Gao, James Engstrom, Cornell University

Achieving area selective deposition requires preventing growth on the nongrowth surface (NGS), which often involves the use of molecules to block growth on those surfaces. Careful choice of the ALD process chemistry, thin film precursor and co-reactant, as well as the blocking molecule and how it is administered, is important. We report here a systematic examination of the effects of the precursor, co-reactant and co-adsorbate/blocking molecule on preventing growth of Al₂O₃ on SiO₂. We also consider the effects of temperature, and the dosing sequence employed for the blocking species. Concerning the precursor we compare trimethylaluminum (TMA) to a non-pyrophoric precursor containing only Al-N bonds and no Al-C bonds, *i.e.*, BDMADA-Al [1]. For co-reactants we compare H₂O to t-BuOH. Finally, we consider two blocking species: octadecyl trichlorosilane (ODTS), and dimethylamine trimethylsilane (DMATMS). In this study we employ a quartz-crystal microbalance to monitor ALD in situ and in real-time, and the deposited thin films have been characterized ex situ using X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy, and a variety of techniques. Concerning the "pristine" processes, i.e., ALD in the absence of a blocking molecule, the properties of the films (density, C incorporation, stoichiometry, growth rates) are comparable using either BDMADA-Al or TMA as the precursor under similar reaction conditions. These species also react similarly with H_2O and *t*-BuOH as the co-reactant, where steady growth with the latter is only observed at sufficiently high temperatures. Concerning blocking growth, we have observed a number of identifiable trends. First, employing the same ALD process chemistry, ODTS produces better blocking in comparison to DMATMS in cases involving a single dose of the blocking molecule. When comparing TMA and BDMADA-Al, we observe that for both blocking molecules that the latter is more efficiently blocked. These two observations demonstrate the importance of molecular size as the larger BDMADA-Al is more efficiently blocked, and the larger ODTS is better for preventing growth. Temperature has a definitive effect on the efficiency of preventing growth where we find that higher temperatures lead to more effective blocking of growth. The dosing sequence employed for the blocking species also plays an important role. Repetitive dosing of DMATMS in an "ABC" process provides superior blocking with respect to a single preexposure, and these results exceed those produced by ODTS.

[1]J. V. Swarup, H.-R. Chuang, J. T. Jensen, J. Gao, A. L. You and J. R. Engstrom, J. Vac. Sci. Technol. A **43**, 022404 (2025).

11:30am AP+EM+PS+TF-FrM-14 MO-Mo? Oh No! The Problem of Carbon in Metalorganic Molybdenum Deposition, Kyle Blakeney, David Mandia, Matthew Griffiths, Jeong-Seok Na, Raihan Tarafdar, Jeremie Dalton, Lam Research Corporation

Molybdenum (Mo) halides and oxyhalides comprise the sole class of precursors that can deposit Mo metal films by ALD/CVD with sufficient purity for applications in advanced microelectronic devices. Unfortunately, solid, low vapor pressure Mo chloride precursors have challenges in flux stability and low vapor pressure. Metalorganic (MO) precursors are commonly used to address some of these challenges and are useful alternatives to halides for many non-metal films such as SiO₂, SiN, TiN, Al₂O₃, etc. Despite much effort, MO-precursors have not met the performance of chloride precursors for depositing pure Mo.

This presentation will summarize key findings of MO-Mo process development by the Lam ALD/CVD Metals concept and feasibility (C&F) group. Included will be typical precursor tests using coupon process modules, 300mm C&F chambers, fundamental mechanistic investigations of Mo surface reactivity, and novel deposition pathways such as conversion-reduction (Figure 1) and alloy formation (Figure 2).

Author Index

Bold page numbers indicate presenter

-A-

Altieri, Nicholas: AP+EM+PS+TF-FrM-8, 1 Armini, Silvia: AP+EM+PS+TF-FrM-10, 1 — B —

Bent, Stacey: AP+EM+PS+TF-FrM-5, 1 Bhattacharyya, Dyotana: AP+EM+PS+TF-FrM-12, 1

Blakeney, Kyle: AP+EM+PS+TF-FrM-14, **2** Brewer, Christopher: AP+EM+PS+TF-FrM-12, **1**

c

Caretti, Diego: AP+EM+PS+TF-FrM-12, 1 Carroll, Nicholas: AP+EM+PS+TF-FrM-7, 1 Chang, Jane: AP+EM+PS+TF-FrM-8, 1 Chen, Yi: AP+EM+PS+TF-FrM-8, 1 Cho, Daniel: AP+EM+PS+TF-FrM-8, 1 — D —

Dalton, Jeremie: AP+EM+PS+TF-FrM-14, 2

Das, Bishwaprava: AP+EM+PS+TF-FrM-12, 1

— E — Engstrom, James: AP+EM+PS+TF-FrM-13, **2**

Gao, Jeffrey: AP+EM+PS+TF-FrM-13, 2 Griffiths, Matthew: AP+EM+PS+TF-FrM-14, 2 — H —

Hoang, John: AP+EM+PS+TF-FrM-8, 1

Jensen, James: AP+EM+PS+TF-FrM-13, 2 — K —

Kang, Xin: AP+EM+PS+TF-FrM-12, 1

— M —

Mandia, David: AP+EM+PS+TF-FrM-14, 2 McElwee-White, Lisa: AP+EM+PS+TF-FrM-12, 1

— N —

Na, Jeong-Seok: AP+EM+PS+TF-FrM-14, 2

-0-

Oni, Oluwatamilore: AP+EM+PS+TF-FrM-12, 1

— P —

Parsons, Gregory: AP+EM+PS+TF-FrM-7, 1 Perry, Jonah: AP+EM+PS+TF-FrM-12, 1 — S—

Singh, Rashmi: AP+EM+PS+TF-FrM-12, 1 Swarup, Jay: AP+EM+PS+TF-FrM-13, 2 — T —

Tan, Samantha: AP+EM+PS+TF-FrM-8, 1 Tarafdar, Raihan: AP+EM+PS+TF-FrM-14, 2 Teplyakov, Andrew: AP+EM+PS+TF-FrM-4, 1 Thelven, Jeremy: AP+EM+PS+TF-FrM-7, 1 --W-

Walker, Amy: AP+EM+PS+TF-FrM-12, 1

Zhu, Ji: AP+EM+PS+TF-FrM-8, 1