

## Atomic Scale Processing Mini-Symposium

Room A107-109 - Session AP1+2D+EM+PS+TF-TuA

### Atomic Layer Processing: Integration of Deposition and Etching

Moderator: John F. Conley, Jr., Oregon State University

2:20pm AP1+2D+EM+PS+TF-TuA-1 **Combination of Plasma-Based Atomic-Scale Deposition and Etching Processes for Advanced Patterning**, **Marceline Bonvalot**, LTM - MINATEC - CEA/LETI, France; **C. Vallée**, SUNY College of Nanoscale Science and Engineering; **r. gassilloud**, **T. Chevolleau**, CEA/LETI-University Grenoble Alpes, France; **N. Possémé**, STmicroelectronics, France **INVITED**

Selective Deposition processes have gained increased research interest in recent years, because they enable the accurate placement of a thin film on a specific substrate surface (in the case of area selective deposition ASD) or on specifically oriented surfaces (in the case of topographical selective deposition TSD). Such processes require atomic-scale precision, and usually involve Atomic Layer Deposition techniques, with possibly plasma assistance. Several pathways have been proposed in the literature for ASD, most commonly implying surface inhibition treatments with dedicated chemical treatments (self-assembled molecules or small molecule inhibitors for instance) to increase the nucleation delay during the subsequent ALD growth. However, the dedicated inhibition behavior eventually deteriorates when exposed to a few ALD cycles, which requires that on the one hand, nuclei formed on non-growth surfaces be removed and on the other hand, the inhibitor be systematically regenerated.

In this presentation, we will show how the insertion of an *in situ* etching step in the overall ALD process can serve as an effective corrective treatment for this purpose. The etching periodicity in conventional deposition/etching duty cycles will be investigated in details. We will show that the etching step should preferentially be carried out before the transition from the Volmer-Weber 1D island growth mode to the 2D layer by layer growth mode on non-growth surfaces, to limit plasma-induced surface defects. Moreover, the 1D island growth mode seems to coincide with the onset of degradation for the surface inhibition treatment. In this context, it will be shown that the etching periodicity is a determining parameter for the successful development of a selective bottom-up growth strategy.

3:00pm AP1+2D+EM+PS+TF-TuA-3 **Application of Etching Reaction Models to Deposition Processes**, **Nobuyuki Kuboi**, Sony Semiconductor Solutions Corporation, Japan **INVITED**

Advanced CMOS devices require highly intricate 3D stacked structures with varying aspect ratios such as FinFETs and GAAs [1]. Understanding the process properties of plasma etching [2] and deposition [3] processes based on their mechanism and combinations has become increasingly important in addressing this challenge. Additionally, microfabrication properties should be stably suppressed within a specific range during mass production. However, the monitoring system equipped in the process chamber is limited for mass production. Therefore, we propose predictive models for plasma etching and deposition that consider the physical and chemical aspects of the plasma and surface.

First, we briefly introduce simulations for fluctuations in the SiN etching rate influenced by the chamber wall condition, critical dimensions during Si gate etching caused by SiBr<sub>x</sub> by-products dependent on open area ratios on wafer/chip/local-pattern levels, damage distribution affected by local-pattern structure, ion energy, and hydrogen concentration in the SiO<sub>2</sub> and SiN films, and selectivity during SiO<sub>2</sub>-ALE [4][5][6].

We then present a modeling and simulation of the deposition process as a motif of the SiN-PECVD process using a 3D voxel method that can be associated with the previous process, such as plasma etching [7]. The model can predict film properties as well as the coverage on a large-scale pattern. Reactions among voxels are considered pseudo treatments for atomistic interactions on the surface. A statistical ensemble method involving probabilities is used to express physical and chemical phenomena such as sticking, migration, and bond formation on the deposited surface. The sticking and bond probabilities are affected by surface damage and IEADFs, respectively. Our model can successfully reproduce the experimental characteristic relationship between the morphology and film density dependent on the SiH<sub>4</sub> flow rate during the low temperature (120 °C) SiN-PECVD process considering different gas residence times that affect

surface reactions. Furthermore, we discuss the issue of modeling the ALD process.

These simulation technologies can aid in optimizing the chamber wall condition, pattern design, and etching/deposition combination process.

- [1] N. Singh *et al.*, IEEE Electron Device Lett. **27**, 383 (2006).
- [2] T. Tatsumi *et al.*, Jpn. J. Appl. Phys. **61**, SA0804 (2022).
- [3] H. C. M. Knoop *et al.*, J. Vac. Sci. Technol. A **37**, (2019) 030902.
- [4] N. Kuboi *et al.*, Appl. Phys. Express **5**, (2012) 126201.
- [5] N. Kuboi *et al.*, J. Vac. Sci. Technol. A **35**, (2015) 061306.
- [6] N. Kuboi *et al.*, J. Vac. Sci. Technol. A **37**, (2019) 051004.
- [7] N. Kuboi *et al.*, Jpn. J. Appl. Phys. **62**, (2023) S11006.

4:20pm AP1+2D+EM+PS+TF-TuA-7 **Recent Advancements for Atomic Layer Advanced Manufacturing Processes: Microreactor Direct Atomic Layer Processing (μDALP™)**, **Maksym Plakhotnyuk**, **A. Varga**, **I. Kundrata**, ATLANT 3D Nanosystems, Denmark; **J. Bachmann**, ATLANT 3D Nanosystems; Friedrich-Alexander Universität Erlangen-Nürnberg, Denmark **INVITED**

As the demand for miniaturized and complex devices continues to grow across various industries, the need for innovative and precise atomic layer advanced manufacturing (ALAM) technologies becomes increasingly apparent<sup>[1]</sup>. Our company, utilizing proprietary Microreactor Direct Atomic Layer Processing (μDALP™), is at the forefront of pushing sALD's capabilities and broadening its application horizons. The μDALP™ process undergoes the same cyclic ALD process but only in a spatially localized area.<sup>[2]</sup> The microreactor or micronozzle confines the flows of gases used for ALD within a defined μm-scale centric area on the substrate to deposit the desired material.<sup>[3]</sup>

ATLANT 3D's recent advancements in our novel μDALP™ technology have enabled innovation within the thin film deposition field ranging from ALD material development to rapid prototyping and manufacturing. The μDALP™ process enables multiple depositions e.g., depositions with varying film thicknesses, to be deposited onto a single wafer used to calculate a given processes growth rate within only a few hours, compared to days for a traditional ALD process. In Addition, innovation of applications including optics and photonics, quantum devices, MEMS, RF electronics, emerging memory technologies, advanced packaging, and energy storage are possible and have been demonstrated using μDALP™ technology.

Discussing the improvements to the μDALP™ process, we have decreased the process resolution, increased material compatibility, and accessible morphologies. Giving one example of the recent development in morphologies, films deposited with μDALP™ have conformal coverage of gratings, microchannels, and trenches up to a depth of 25 μm using a Platinum deposition process. **Fig. 1** demonstrates how a given ALD material process (in this case, Pt) can be used with ATLANT 3D technology to deposit localized area conformal coatings of complex surfaces with an aspect ratio of 1:25. Hence demonstrating the versatility and potential of our technology for achieving inherently selective ALD for processing on complex surface morphologies.

This talk aims to shed light on how our breakthroughs in spatial ALD and μDALP™ technology contribute to the advancement of ALAM and scale-up. Fostering a deeper understanding of our technology's capabilities and exploring the possibilities it opens up for various industries.

- [1] Poodt P., *JVSTA.*, **2012**, *30*, 010802
- [2] Kundrata I., *et al.*, *Small Methods.*, **2022**, *6* (5), 2101546
- [3] Plakhotnyuk M, *et al.*, *ALD/ALE 2022 [Int. Conf.]*, **2022**

## Author Index

**Bold page numbers indicate presenter**

— B —

Bachmann, J.: AP1+2D+EM+PS+TF-TuA-7, 1

Bonvalot, M.: AP1+2D+EM+PS+TF-TuA-1, **1**

— C —

Chevolleau, T.: AP1+2D+EM+PS+TF-TuA-1, 1

— G —

gassilloud, r.: AP1+2D+EM+PS+TF-TuA-1, 1

— K —

Kuboi, N.: AP1+2D+EM+PS+TF-TuA-3, **1**

Kundrata, I.: AP1+2D+EM+PS+TF-TuA-7, 1

— P —

Plakhotnyuk, M.: AP1+2D+EM+PS+TF-TuA-7,

**1**

Possémé, N.: AP1+2D+EM+PS+TF-TuA-1, 1

— V —

Vallée, C.: AP1+2D+EM+PS+TF-TuA-1, 1

Varga, A.: AP1+2D+EM+PS+TF-TuA-7, 1